

# Solutions: Isogeny-based crypto

PQCrypto Summer School 2017

July 3, 2017

1. Define

$$E/\mathbb{Q} : y^2 = x^3 + 1.$$

- (a) The line passing through  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  is defined by  $L : y = x + 1$ . To find the third point of intersection between  $L$  and  $E$  we plug  $L$  into  $E$ :

$$(x + 1)^2 = x^3 + 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 = x^3 - x^2 - 2x = x(x + 1)(x - 2).$$

So the third point in  $L \cap E$  has  $x$  coordinate 2 and  $y$  coordinate  $2 + 1 = 3$ . Therefore

$$(-1, 0) + (0, 1) = -(2, 3) = (2, -3).$$

- (b) To compute the tangent line at the point  $(0, 1)$  we need to compute the gradient of  $E$  at this point, so we first differentiate  $E$  with respect to  $y$ , giving

$$2y \frac{dy}{dx} = 3x^2.$$

Therefore, at  $(0, 1)$  the tangent to  $E$  has gradient  $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ , so the equation of the line is given by

$$L : x = 0.$$

By plugging  $L$  into  $E$  we now see that the unique second intersection point of  $L$  with  $E$  is  $(0, -1)$ , hence

$$2(0, 1) = (0, -1).$$

- (c) Clearly  $(0, 1) \neq \infty$  and by (b), we have that  $2(0, 1) = (0, -1) \neq \infty$  so  $n > 2$ . Now

$$3(0, 1) = 2(0, 1) + (0, 1) = (0, -1) + (0, 1) = \infty,$$

hence  $n = 3$ .

2. Define

$$E/\mathbb{F}_{17} : y^2 = x^3 + 1$$

and

$$E'/\mathbb{F}_{17} : y^2 = x^3 - 10.$$

(This was a typo in the problem sheet).

(a) Define

$$f : (x, y) \mapsto ((x^3 + 4)/x^2, (x^3y - 8y)/x^3).$$

We want to show that  $f : E \rightarrow E'$ , or equivalently, that if

$$x' = (x^3 + 4)/x^2, \tag{1}$$

$$y' = (x^3y - 8y)/x^3, \tag{2}$$

and

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + 1 \pmod{17}, \tag{3}$$

then

$$(y')^2 \equiv (x')^3 - 10 \pmod{17}.$$

So assume (1), (2), and (3). Then

$$(y')^2 + 10 = (y^2(x^3 - 8)^2 + 10x^6)/x^6 \tag{by (2)}$$

$$\equiv ((x^3 + 1)(x^3 - 8)^2 + 10x^6)/x^6 \pmod{17} \tag{by (3)}$$

$$\equiv (x^9 + 12x^6 + 48x^3 + 64)/x^6 \pmod{17}$$

$$\equiv (x')^3 \pmod{17} \tag{by (1)}.$$

(b) We claim that the points in the preimage of  $(3, 0)$  are

$$\{(0, -1), (2, 3), (2, -3)\}.$$

Any point  $(x, y)$  in the preimage of  $(3, 0)$  under  $f$  must satisfy

$$x^3y - 8y \equiv 0 \pmod{17},$$

so either  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$  or  $x^3 \equiv 8 \pmod{17}$ . There is a unique point in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{17})$  with  $y \equiv 0$  given by  $P_1 = (-1, 0)$ , and there are exactly 2 points in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{17})$  with  $x^3 \equiv 8$  given by  $P_2 = (2, 3)$  and  $P_3 = (2, -3)$ . Hence the preimage of  $(3, 0)$  under  $f$  is given by

$$\{P_i \in \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} : f(P_i) = (3, 0)\}.$$

Now

$$f(P_1) = (((-1)^3 + 4)/(-1)^2, 0) = (3, 0)$$

$$f(P_2) = ((2^3 + 4)/2^2, (2^3 \cdot 3 - 8 \cdot 3)/2^3) = (3, 0)$$

$$f(P_3) = ((2^3 + 4)/2^2, (2^3 \cdot (-3) - 8 \cdot (-3))/2^3) = (3, 0),$$

and hence our claim holds.

- (c) In the slides we saw that for an elliptic curve defined by  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ , the  $j$ -invariant is given by

$$j(E) = 1728 \frac{4a^3}{4a^3 + 27b^2}.$$

For both  $E$  and  $E'$  we have  $a = 0$ , and hence

$$j(E) = j(E') = 0.$$

- (d) To see that  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{17^2}$ , we first observe that  $\begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ 17 \end{pmatrix} = -1$  and hence  $\mathbb{F}_{17^2} \cong \mathbb{F}_{17}(\sqrt{-10})$ . We then claim that the map

$$f : (x, y) \rightarrow (-3x, \sqrt{-10}y),$$

defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}(\sqrt{-10})$ , is an isomorphism  $E' \rightarrow E$ . To see this, we divide the equation for  $E'$  by  $-10$ :

$$E' : \frac{y^2}{-10} = \frac{x^3}{-10} + 1,$$

and then apply  $f$ :

$$f(E') : \frac{-10y^2}{-10} = \frac{(-3x)^3}{-10} + 1,$$

which is the equation for  $E$ . So  $f$  defines a map  $E' \rightarrow E$ . Similarly,

$$g : (x, y) \mapsto ((-3)^{-1}x, (\sqrt{-10}^{-1}y)$$

defines a map  $E \rightarrow E'$ , and  $f \circ g = g \circ f = \text{id}$ , so  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{17^2}$ .

It remains to show that  $E$  and  $E'$  are not isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ . Given the material from the lecture, the only viable way to check is by brute force: write every invertible rational map over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$  and check that none of them work (using a computer)!

Here is a nicer way; the following is Theorem III.3.1(b) in ‘Rational Points on Elliptic Curves’ by Silverman and Tate:

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $E, E'$  elliptic curves over  $k$ . Every isomorphism from  $E$  to  $E'$  defined over  $\bar{k}$  restricts to an affine isomorphism of the form*

$$\phi(x, y) = (u^2x + r, u^3y + su^2x + t)$$

where  $u, r, s, t \in \bar{k}$ . The isomorphism is defined over  $k$  if and only if  $u, r, s, t \in k$ .

Observe further that as our elliptic curves are all of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ , we must always have that  $s = t = 0$ . We proceed by attempting to compute  $u$  and  $r$  in our case. Any  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ -isomorphism from  $E$  to  $E'$  must also define an isomorphism of groups

$$E(\mathbb{F}_{17}) \rightarrow E'(\mathbb{F}_{17}),$$

so that in particular, a point of order  $n$  will be sent to a point of order  $n$ . We compute that the set of  $E(\mathbb{F}_{17})$ -points of order 2 is given by

$$E^{(2)} := \{(16, 0)\},$$

the set of  $E(\mathbb{F}_{17})$ -points of order 3 is given by

$$E^{(3)} := \{(0, 1), (0, 16)\},$$

the set of  $E'(\mathbb{F}_{17})$ -points of order 2 is given by

$$(E')^{(2)} := \{(3, 0)\},$$

and the set of  $E'(\mathbb{F}_{17})$ -points of order 3 is given by

$$(E')^{(3)} := \{(5, 8), (5, 9)\}.$$

Suppose that we have an isomorphism  $E \rightarrow E'$  defined by

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (u^2x + r, u^3y).$$

Then as  $\phi : E^{(3)} \rightarrow (E')^{(3)}$ , we conclude that  $r = 5$  and  $u = \pm 2$ . But then

$$\phi : (16, 0) \mapsto (-4 + 5, 0),$$

so  $\phi$  does not map  $E^{(2)} \rightarrow (E')^{(2)}$ , which is a contradiction.

3. As  $\ell$  is a prime, every size  $\ell$  subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, every element of

$$\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$$

except for  $(0, 0)$  generates a cyclic group of order  $\ell$ , and each non-zero element of such a cyclic group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  generates  $G$ . Hence, the number of distinct size  $\ell$  subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  is given by

$$\frac{\#(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) - 1}{\#(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times} = \frac{\ell^2 - 1}{\ell - 1} = \ell + 1.$$

From the lectures we know that for an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  and a prime  $\ell$  such that  $\ell \neq p$ , the  $\ell$ -torsion of  $E$  is

$$E[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}.$$

We also know that for every size  $\ell$  subgroup  $G \subset E[\ell]$ , there exists an elliptic curve  $E'$  and a separable isogeny  $\varphi : E \rightarrow E'$  with  $\ker(\varphi) = G$ , giving us  $\ell + 1$  degree  $\ell$  isogenies from  $E$  from the  $\ell + 1$  size  $\ell$  subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ .

4. For a point  $P$  on an elliptic curve, write  $\varphi_P$  for the isogeny with kernel  $\langle P \rangle$ . It suffices to show that

$$(E/\langle A \rangle)/\langle \varphi_A(B) \rangle = (E/\langle B \rangle)/\langle \varphi_B(A) \rangle = E/\langle A, B \rangle,$$

as we then get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & E/\langle B \rangle \\ \varphi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{\varphi_B(A)} \\ E/\langle A \rangle & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\varphi_A(B)}} & E/\langle A, B \rangle. \end{array}$$

Observe that  $A$  and  $B$  have coprime orders, so that  $B \notin \langle A \rangle$  and  $A \notin \langle B \rangle$ . In particular, the image  $B + \langle A \rangle$  of  $B$  under  $\varphi_A$  is a point of  $E/\langle A \rangle$  of the same order as  $B$ . Define  $\Lambda$  by

$$E/\Lambda = (E/\langle A \rangle)/\langle \varphi_A(B) \rangle = (E/\langle A \rangle)/\langle B + \langle A \rangle \rangle.$$

Then clearly

$$\Lambda \subseteq \langle A, B \rangle,$$

and as  $B + \langle A \rangle$  has the same order as  $B$ , the cardinalities are the same, hence

$$\Lambda = \langle A, B \rangle.$$